

$Spin^c$, K-homology and Proper Actions

Hao Guo

Supervised by Prof. Mathai Varghese and Dr. Hang Wang

University of Adelaide

<http://arxiv.org/pdf/1609.01404v2.pdf>

Setting

G an **almost-connected** Lie group.

X a smooth manifold on which G acts **properly** and **cocompactly**.

“Properly” means

$$\begin{aligned} G \times X &\rightarrow X \times X \\ (g, x) &\mapsto (x, g \cdot x) \end{aligned}$$

is a proper map.

“Cocompactly” means X/G is compact.

Setting

G an **almost-connected** Lie group.

X a smooth manifold on which G acts **properly** and **cocompactly**.

“Properly” means

$$\begin{aligned} G \times X &\rightarrow X \times X \\ (g, x) &\mapsto (x, g \cdot x) \end{aligned}$$

is a proper map.

“Cocompactly” means X/G is compact. There are three main results with two applications. We will focus on one: G -equivariant Poincaré duality.

G-equivariant Poincaré duality

Example: (Poincaré duality in the non-equivariant setting) Let M be a compact smooth manifold. Then

$$H_k(M) \cong H^{n-k}(M), \quad n = \dim(M).$$

We prove an analogous result in the setting of K -theory. To introduce this, recall the construction of K -homology.

K-homology

To construct $K_0(X)$, take all triples (H, ϕ, F) , where

K-homology

To construct $K_0(X)$, take all triples (H, ϕ, F) , where

- H a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert space;

K-homology

To construct $K_0(X)$, take all triples (H, ϕ, F) , where

- H a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert space;
- ϕ an even-graded representation of $C_0(X)$ on $B(H)$;

K-homology

To construct $K_0(X)$, take all triples (H, ϕ, F) , where

- H a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert space;
- ϕ an even-graded representation of $C_0(X)$ on $B(H)$;
- F an odd-graded bounded operator on H ,

subject to some compactness conditions. Then quotient out by a certain equivalence relation defined by homotopy.

If a group G acts on X , we can construct the equivariant group $K_0^G(X)$ in a similar fashion.

K-homology

To construct $K_0(X)$, take all triples (H, ϕ, F) , where

- H a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert space;
- ϕ an even-graded representation of $C_0(X)$ on $B(H)$;
- F an odd-graded bounded operator on H ,

subject to some compactness conditions. Then quotient out by a certain equivalence relation defined by homotopy.

If a group G acts on X , we can construct the equivariant group $K_0^G(X)$ in a similar fashion.

Example: Let E be a complex vector bundle over a Spin manifold X . Take $H = L^2(S \otimes E)$, ϕ multiplication by functions in $C_0(X)$, F a normalised Spin-Dirac operator $D(1 + D^2)^{-1/2}$.

If K is a compact Lie group acting on a compact manifold Y , it is known from [Kasparov, 1988] that

$$K_{\bullet}^K(C_{\tau}(Y)) \cong K_{\bullet}^K(Y),$$

where $C_{\tau}(Y)$ is the C^* -algebra of sections vanishing at ∞ of the complex Clifford bundle associated to TY .

If K is a compact Lie group acting on a compact manifold Y , it is known from [Kasparov, 1988] that

$$K_{\bullet}^K(C_{\tau}(Y)) \cong K_{\bullet}^K(Y),$$

where $C_{\tau}(Y)$ is the C^* -algebra of sections vanishing at ∞ of the complex Clifford bundle associated to TY .

The LHS is K -theory of operator algebras, and the RHS is K -homology discussed above.

If K is a compact Lie group acting on a compact manifold Y , it is known from [Kasparov, 1988] that

$$K_{\bullet}^K(C_{\tau}(Y)) \cong K_{\bullet}^K(Y),$$

where $C_{\tau}(Y)$ is the C^* -algebra of sections vanishing at ∞ of the complex Clifford bundle associated to TY .

The LHS is K -theory of operator algebras, and the RHS is K -homology discussed above.

We generalise this to:

Theorem (HG, Mathai, Wang '16)

Let G be an almost-connected Lie group acting properly and cocompactly on a smooth manifold X . Then

$$K_{\bullet}^G(C_{\tau}(X)) \cong K_{\bullet}^G(X).$$

Sketch of the proof

Sketch of the proof

- 1 Take a maximal compact subgroup $K < G$.

Sketch of the proof

- 1 Take a maximal compact subgroup $K < G$.
- 2 By the global slice theorem [Abels, 1974], we can find a compact “ K -slice” Y of X , such that $X \cong G \times_K Y$. Here K acts on G from the right and on Y from the left.

Sketch of the proof

- 1 Take a maximal compact subgroup $K < G$.
- 2 By the global slice theorem [Abels, 1974], we can find a compact “ K -slice” Y of X , such that $X \cong G \times_K Y$. Here K acts on G from the right and on Y from the left.
- 3 Decompose $TX \cong G \times_K (TY \times \mathfrak{p})$, where $\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}$.

Sketch of the proof

- 1 Take a maximal compact subgroup $K < G$.
- 2 By the global slice theorem [Abels, 1974], we can find a compact “ K -slice” Y of X , such that $X \cong G \times_K Y$. Here K acts on G from the right and on Y from the left.
- 3 Decompose $TX \cong G \times_K (TY \times \mathfrak{p})$, where $\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}$.
- 4 A result of [Kasparov, 2015] tells us that the C^* -algebras $C_\tau(X)$ and $C_0(TX)$ have the same K -theory.

Sketch of the proof

Then

$$K_0^G(C_T(X)) = K_G^0(TX)$$

Sketch of the proof

Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_0^G(C_T(X)) &= K_G^0(TX) \\ &= K_G^0(G \times_K (TY \times \mathfrak{p})) \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof

Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_0^G(C_T(X)) &= K_G^0(TX) \\ &= K_G^0(G \times_K (TY \times \mathfrak{p})) \\ &= K_K^0(TY \times \mathfrak{p}) \quad \text{using [Phillips, 1988]} \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof

Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_0^G(C_T(X)) &= K_G^0(TX) \\ &= K_G^0(G \times_K (TY \times \mathfrak{p})) \\ &= K_K^0(TY \times \mathfrak{p}) \quad \text{using [Phillips, 1988]} \\ &= K_K^d(TY) \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof

Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_0^G(C_\tau(X)) &= K_G^0(TX) \\ &= K_G^0(G \times_K (TY \times \mathfrak{p})) \\ &= K_K^0(TY \times \mathfrak{p}) \quad \text{using [Phillips, 1988]} \\ &= K_K^d(TY) \\ &= K_d^K(C_0(TY)) = K_d^K(C_\tau(Y)) \quad \text{using [Kasparov, 2015]}. \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof

Using equivariant Poincaré duality in the compact group case, get

$$K_0^G(C_\tau(X)) = K_d^K(Y).$$

Finally, we showed that there is an **induction map** on equivariant analytic K -homology that is an isomorphism (defined with the help of KK theory):

$$\mathrm{Ind}_K^G : K_\bullet^K(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\bullet+d}^G(X).$$

Sketch of the proof

Using equivariant Poincaré duality in the compact group case, get

$$K_0^G(C_\tau(X)) = K_d^K(Y).$$

Finally, we showed that there is an **induction map** on equivariant analytic K -homology that is an isomorphism (defined with the help of KK theory):

$$\mathrm{Ind}_K^G : K_\bullet^K(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\bullet+d}^G(X).$$

It follows that

$$K_0^G(C_\tau(X)) \cong K_0^G(X).$$



Two crucial ingredients

Two crucial ingredients

- 1 Abels' global slice theorem (the almost-connected assumption on G is crucial here).

Two crucial ingredients

- 1 Abels' global slice theorem (the almost-connected assumption on G is crucial here).
- 2 "Induction" in K -theory and K -homology, from a maximal compact subgroup K to G :

$$\mathrm{Ind}_K^G : K_0^K(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0^G(X).$$

Two crucial ingredients

- 1 Abels' global slice theorem (the almost-connected assumption on G is crucial here).
- 2 "Induction" in K -theory and K -homology, from a maximal compact subgroup K to G :

$$\mathrm{Ind}_K^G : K_0^K(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0^G(X).$$

Compare this with the induction map on representations

$$R(K) \rightarrow R(G).$$

Two crucial ingredients

- 1 Abels' global slice theorem (the almost-connected assumption on G is crucial here).
- 2 "Induction" in K -theory and K -homology, from a maximal compact subgroup K to G :

$$\mathrm{Ind}_K^G : K_0^K(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0^G(X).$$

Compare this with the induction map on representations

$$R(K) \rightarrow R(G).$$

Compare also with the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism ("Dirac Induction")

$$R(K) \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*G).$$

Relation to geometric K-homology

Above, we used the map Ind_K^G . We can also define a similar induction map on **geometric** K-homology, as defined by [Baum and Douglas, 1982] in the non-equivariant setting.

Relation to geometric K-homology

Above, we used the map Ind_K^G . We can also define a similar induction map on **geometric** K-homology, as defined by [Baum and Douglas, 1982] in the non-equivariant setting.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0^{G,\text{geo}}(X) & \xrightarrow{BD} & K_0^G(X) \\
 \text{Ind}_K^G \uparrow & & \text{Ind}_K^G \uparrow \\
 K_0^{K,\text{geo}}(Y) & \xrightarrow{BD} & K_0^K(Y).
 \end{array}$$

Relation to geometric K-homology

Above, we used the map Ind_K^G . We can also define a similar induction map on **geometric** K-homology, as defined by [Baum and Douglas, 1982] in the non-equivariant setting.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0^{G,\text{geo}}(X) & \xrightarrow{BD} & K_0^G(X) \\
 \text{Ind}_K^G \uparrow & & \text{Ind}_K^G \uparrow \\
 K_0^{K,\text{geo}}(Y) & \xrightarrow{BD} & K_0^K(Y)
 \end{array}$$

The top and bottom arrows are (equivariant versions of) certain natural maps defined by taking a manifold M and a vector bundle E over M to a Dirac operator twisted by E .

Relation to geometric K-homology

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0^{G,\text{geo}}(X) & \xrightarrow{BD} & K_0^G(X) \\
 \text{Ind}_K^G \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{Ind}_K^G \\
 K_0^{K,\text{geo}}(Y) & \xrightarrow{BD} & K_0^K(Y).
 \end{array}$$

In the non-equivariant setting, the BD map was only proved to be an isomorphism in [Baum et al., 2007] (although it was “known” many years ago).

Relation to geometric K-homology

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0^{G,\text{geo}}(X) & \xrightarrow{BD} & K_0^G(X) \\
 \text{Ind}_K^G \uparrow & & \text{Ind}_K^G \uparrow \\
 K_0^{K,\text{geo}}(Y) & \xrightarrow{BD} & K_0^K(Y).
 \end{array}$$

In the non-equivariant setting, the BD map was only proved to be an isomorphism in [Baum et al., 2007] (although it was “known” many years ago).

The bottom arrow (equivariant, compact case) was shown to be an isomorphism recently [Baum et al., 2010].

Relation to geometric K-homology

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0^{G,\text{geo}}(X) & \xrightarrow{BD} & K_0^G(X) \\
 \text{Ind}'_K{}^G \uparrow & & \text{Ind}_K{}^G \uparrow \\
 K_0^{K,\text{geo}}(Y) & \xrightarrow{BD} & K_0^K(Y).
 \end{array}$$

In the non-equivariant setting, the BD map was only proved to be an isomorphism in [Baum et al., 2007] (although it was “known” many years ago).

The bottom arrow (equivariant, compact case) was shown to be an isomorphism recently [Baum et al., 2010].

Using this, and by showing that $\text{Ind}'_K{}^G$ and $\text{Ind}_K{}^G$ are isomorphisms, we now know that that the top arrow is an isomorphism.

Abels, H. (1974). Parallelizability of proper actions, global K -slices and maximal compact subgroups. *Math. Ann.*, 212:1–19.

Baum, P. and Douglas, R. G. (1982). K homology and index theory. In *Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980)*, volume 38 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 117–173. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.

Baum, P., Higson, N., and Schick, T. (2007). On the equivalence of geometric and analytic K -homology. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 3(1, part 3):1–24.

Baum, P., Oyono-Oyono, H., Schick, T., and Walter, M. (2010). Equivariant geometric K -homology for compact Lie group actions. *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.*, 80(2):149–173.

Kasparov, G. (2015). K -theoretic index theorems for elliptic and transversally elliptic operators (preprint).

Kasparov, G. G. (1988). Equivariant KK -theory and the Novikov conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 91(1):147–201.

Phillips, N. C. (1988). Equivariant K -theory for proper actions. II. Some cases in which finite-dimensional bundles suffice. In *Index theory of elliptic operators, foliations, and operator algebras (New Orleans, LA/Indianapolis, IN, 1986)*, volume 70 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 205–227. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.