

Quantum group actions on rings and equivariant algebraic K-theory

R. B. Zhang

University of Sydney

Joint work with Gus Lehrer

$U = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, a quantum group,

A , a **U -module algebra** over U , that is, an associative \mathbb{k} -algebra with a U -action that preserves the algebraic structure of A .

The subspace A^U of U -invariants forms a subalgebra.

Describe the structure of the subalgebra A^U of invariants: a quantum analogue of the first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the quantum groups associated with classical Lie algebras was established (joint work with Gus Leher and Hechun Zhang [LZZ11]).

“Higher invariants” of U -module algebras?

- U -module algebra $A \iff$ noncommutative space X with U -action;
- finitely generated projective U -equivariant A -module \iff equivariant noncommutative vector bundle on X ;

K -groups of such quivariant noncommutative vector bundles are invariants of A .

Equivariant modules

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dim'l complex simple Lie algebra.

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantum group associated with \mathfrak{g} defined over $\mathbb{k} := \mathbb{C}(q)$, the field of rational functions in q .

Then U has the structure of a Hopf algebra.

Example: $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is generated by $e, f, k^{\pm 1}$ with relations

$$kk^{-1} = k^{-1}k = 1,$$

$$kek^{-1} = q^2e, \quad kfk^{-1} = q^{-2}f,$$

$$ef - fe = \frac{k - k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

The co-multiplication is given by

$$\Delta(k) = k \otimes k,$$

$$\Delta(e) = e \otimes k + 1 \otimes e,$$

$$\Delta(f) = f \otimes 1 + k^{-1} \otimes f.$$

Back to an arbitrary quantum group $U = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.
For any $x \in U$, write co-multiplication $\Delta(x)$ as

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}.$$

An associative algebra A is a **module algebra over U** if A is a U -module, and the algebraic structure is preserved by the action in the sense that, for all $a, b \in A$ and $x \in U$,

$$x \cdot (ab) = \sum_{(x)} (x_{(1)} \cdot a)(x_{(2)} \cdot b),$$

$$x \cdot 1 = \epsilon(x)1.$$

Here $\epsilon : U \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is the counit.

A **U-equivariant A-module** (or **A-U-module**) M is

- ▶ an A -module, $\phi : A \otimes M \longrightarrow M$,
- ▶ a U -module, $\mu : U \otimes M \longrightarrow M$,
- ▶ the two module structures are compatible in the sense that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U \otimes (A \otimes M) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \phi} & U \otimes M \\
 \mu' \downarrow & & \mu \downarrow \\
 A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M,
 \end{array}$$

where μ' is the U -module structure map of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} M$

$$x \otimes (a \otimes m) \mapsto \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} \cdot a \otimes x_{(2)} m$$

A morphism between two A - U -modules is a map which is both A -linear and U -linear.

- ▶ $A\text{-}\mathbf{U}\text{-mod}$, the category of **locally \mathbf{U} -finite** $A\text{-}\mathbf{U}$ -modules. [$A\text{-}\mathbf{U}$ -module V is locally finite if $\dim \mathbf{U}v < \infty$ for any $v \in V$. Locally finite \mathbf{U} -modules are semi-simple.]
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(A, \mathbf{U})$, the full subcategory of $A\text{-}\mathbf{U}\text{-mod}$ consisting of finitely A -generated objects.
- ▶ $\mathcal{P}(A, \mathbf{U})$, the full subcategory of $A\text{-}\mathbf{U}\text{-mod}$ consisting of finitely generated projective objects.

Hereafter we fix a *locally finite* \mathbf{U} -module algebra A .

Set $V_A = A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V$ for any finite dimensional \mathbf{U} -module V .

Let A act on V_A by left multiplication, and

let \mathbf{U} act by

$$x(a \otimes v) = \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} \cdot a \otimes x_{(2)}v$$

for all $a \in A$, $v \in V$ and $x \in \mathbf{U}$.

Call V_A a **free A - U -module** of finite rank.

Facts:

1. V_A belong to $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$.
2. For each object M of $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$, there exists a V_A and surjection $V_A \rightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$.

Choose any finite set of A -generators for M in $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$. The U -module V generated by the set must be finite dimensional because of the local U -finiteness of M . Let $V_A = A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V$. Then the A - U -map $V_A \rightarrow M$, $a \otimes v \mapsto av$, is surjective.

Splitting Lemma

Let $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{p} M'' \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in $A\text{-}\mathcal{U}\text{-mod}$ where M'' is an object of $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$. If the exact sequence is A -split, then it is also split as an exact sequence of $A\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ -modules.

Proof.

Facts: For any $A\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ -modules W and N , there is a U -action on $\text{Hom}_A(W, N)$ defined for any $x \in U$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_A(W, N)$ by

$$(xf)(m) = \sum_{(x)} x_{(2)} f(S^{-1}(x_{(1)})m), \quad \forall m \in W.$$

If $W \in \mathcal{M}(A, U)$, and N is locally U -finite, then $\text{Hom}_A(W, N)$ is a semi-simple U -module.

The sequence $\text{Hom}_A(M'', M) \xrightarrow{p \circ -} \text{Hom}_A(M'', M'') \rightarrow 0$ is exact, and $p \circ -$ is a U -map. Both U -modules in the sequence are semi-simple,

$$\text{Hom}_{A-U}(M'', M) \xrightarrow{p \circ -} \text{Hom}_{A-U}(M'', M'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus any pre-image of $\text{id}_{M''}$ splits the original exact sequence of $A\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ -modules.

Corollary

The following conditions are equivalent for an object P of $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$:

- 1. P is projective as an A -module;*
- 2. P is a projective object of $A\text{-U-mod}$;*
- 3. P is a direct summand of $V_A := A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V$ with $\dim V < \infty$.*

Some notions from Quillen's K-theory

Theorem

$\mathcal{P}(A, U)$ is an *exact category*.

Thus Quillen's K-groups $K_i(\mathcal{P}(A, U))$ are defined.

Definition

Let $K_i^U(A) := K_i(\mathcal{P}(A, U))$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$, and call them the U -equivariant algebraic K-groups of the U -module algebra A .

Exact category.

An exact category \mathcal{M} is an additive category with a class \mathbf{E} of short exact sequences which satisfies a series of axioms.

May think of an exact category \mathcal{M} as a full (additive) subcategory of an abelian category \mathcal{A} which is closed under extensions in \mathcal{A} , that is, if $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact in \mathcal{A} and M' and M'' are in \mathcal{M} , then M also belongs to \mathcal{M} .

Typical examples of exact categories:

- (1). any abelian category with the exact structure given by all the short exact sequences;
- (2). the full subcategory of finitely generated projective (left) modules of the category of (left) modules over a ring.

A functor $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ between two exact categories $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{E})$ and $(\mathcal{M}', \mathbf{E}')$ is called **exact** if $F(\mathbf{E}) \subset \mathbf{E}'$.

Quillen categories of exact categories.

For a small exact category \mathcal{M} , the Quillen category \mathcal{QM} has the same objects as \mathcal{M} , but with morphisms defined in the following way. Let M and M' be objects in \mathcal{M} and consider all diagrams

$$M \xleftarrow{j} N \xrightarrow{i} M',$$

where j is an admissible epimorphism and i an admissible monomorphism. An admissible monomorphism (resp. admissible epimorphism) in \mathcal{M} is a map that occurs as the map i (resp. j) in some member $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{i} M_0 \xrightarrow{j} M_2 \rightarrow 0$ of \mathbf{E} .

Two such diagrams are regarded as equivalent if there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \longleftarrow & N & \longrightarrow & M' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \cong & & \parallel \\ M & \longleftarrow & \tilde{N} & \longrightarrow & M'. \end{array}$$

A morphism from M to M' in the category \mathcal{QM} is by definition an equivalence class of these diagrams.

Given a morphism from M' to M'' represented by the diagram

$$M' \xleftarrow{j'} N' \xrightarrow{i'} M'',$$

its composition with the morphism from M to M' is the morphism represented by

$$M \xleftarrow{j \circ p_1} N \times_{M'} N' \xrightarrow{i' \circ p_2} M'',$$

where $N \times_{M'} N' = \{(n, n') \in N \times N' \mid i(n) = j'(n')\}$ is the fibre product of N and N' over M' , and $p_1 : N \times_{M'} N' \rightarrow N$ and $p_2 : N \times_{M'} N' \rightarrow N'$ are the obvious projections.

The Quillen category can be defined for any exact category of which the isomorphism class of objects form a set.

Classifying space of a category.

The classifying space $B(\mathcal{M})$ of a category \mathcal{M} with a set of isomorphism classes of objects is a CW complex whose p -cells are in one to one correspondence with sequences in \mathcal{M} of the form

$$X_0 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow X_p$$

such that none of the maps is an identity map. The p -cell associated with the above sequence is attached in the obvious way to any cell of smaller dimension that can be obtained by deleting some X_i , and replacing f_i and f_{i+1} by $f_{i+1} \circ f_i$ if $i \neq 0$ or n . [One cancels it if the composition of maps leads to an identity.]

Quillen's algebraic K-theory of exact categories.

Quillen's algebraic K-groups of an exact category \mathcal{M} are defined to be the homotopy groups of the classifying space $B(\mathcal{QM})$ of \mathcal{QM} :

$$K_i(\mathcal{M}) = \pi_{i+1}(B(\mathcal{QM})), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

If $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is an exact functor between exact categories, it induces a functor $\mathcal{QF} : \mathcal{QM} \rightarrow \mathcal{QN}$ between the corresponding Quillen categories. This functor then induces a cellular map $B\mathcal{QF} : B(\mathcal{QM}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{QN})$, which in turn leads to homomorphisms of K-groups

$$F_* : K_i(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{N}), \quad \text{for all } i.$$

Recall that a left Noetherian algebra A is left **regular** if every finitely generated left A -module has a finite resolution by finitely generated projective A -modules.

Theorem

Assume that the U -module algebra A is left regular. Then every object M in $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$ admits a finite $\mathcal{P}(A, U)$ -resolution.

Proof.

For any object M in $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$, there exists an exact sequence $V_{0,A} \xrightarrow{p_0} M \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$, where $V_{0,A}$ is a free A - U -module. As A is left Noetherian, $\ker(p_0)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$. Same considerations apply, leading inductively to an A -free resolution $\dots \rightarrow V_{1,A} \rightarrow V_{0,A} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$.

Let d be the projective dimension of M , which is finite because A is regular. The kernel P of the map $V_{d-1,A} \rightarrow V_{d-2,A}$ is A -projective, hence belongs to $\mathcal{P}(A, U)$. Thus we arrive at the $\mathcal{P}(A, U)$ -resolution

$$0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow V_{d-1,A} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_{1,A} \rightarrow V_{0,A} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$



Theorem

If the U -module algebra A is left regular, there exist isomorphisms

$$K_i^U(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_i(\mathcal{M}(A, U)), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof.

Since A is left regular, it must be left Noetherian. Thus $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$ is an abelian category, which has the natural exact structure consisting of all the short exact sequences. Thus $K_i(\mathcal{M}(A, U))$ are defined.

The embedding $\mathcal{P}(A, U) \subset \mathcal{M}(A, U)$ satisfies the conditions of Quillen's Resolution Theorem, thus the claim immediately follows. □

Filtered module algebras

Let S be a locally finite U -module algebra with a filtration

$$0 = F_{-1}S \subset F_0S \subset F_1S \subset \dots,$$

where $1 \in F_0S$, $\cup_p F_pS = S$ and $F_pS \cdot F_qS \subset F_{p+q}S$.

Assume that the filtration is preserved by the U -action.

Let $\bar{S} = gr(S)$, $\bar{S}_+ = \bigoplus_{p>0} \bar{S}_p$, $A = F_0S$.

Theorem

Assume that \bar{S} is left Noetherian and A -flat. If $A (= \bar{S}/\bar{S}_+)$ has a finite projective \bar{S} -resolution, then there exist the isomorphisms

$$K_i(\mathcal{M}(A, U)) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_i(\mathcal{M}(S, U)), \quad \forall i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If furthermore A is regular, then S is regular, and there exist the isomorphisms

$$K_i^U(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_i^U(S), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The proof for the first part is involved, but that for the second part follows from previous theorem.

Quantum symmetric algebras

V , a finite dim'l U -module.

$T(V)$, tensor algebra of V .

$I \subset V \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V$, U -submodule.

$\langle I \rangle$, two-sided ideal of $T(V)$ generated by I .

Then $\mathbb{k}\{V, I\} := T(V)/\langle I \rangle$ is a U -module algebra.

Call $A = \mathbb{k}\{V, I\}$ a **quantum symmetric algebra** of the U -module

V if it has a PBW basis [that is, there exists some basis

$\{v_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ of V such that the elements $v^{\mathbf{a}} := v_1^{a_1} v_2^{a_2} \cdots v_d^{a_d}$,

with $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, form a basis of A].

Theorem

Assume that the quantum symmetric algebra $A = \mathbb{k}\{V, I\}$ is left Noetherian. Then A is regular, and

$$K_i^U(A) = K_i(\mathbf{U}\text{-mod}) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0,$$

where $\mathbf{U}\text{-mod}$ is the category of finite dim'l left U -modules.

A is graded with $A_0 = \mathbb{k}$. If we can show that A satisfies the conditions of the theorem on equivariant K -groups of filtered algebras, then

$$K_i^U(A) = K_i^U(\mathbb{k}).$$

We have $K_i^U(\mathbb{k}) = K_i(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{k}, U))$.

Note that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{k}, U)$ is the category of finite dimensional left U -modules, that is U -**mod**. As $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{k}, U)$ is semi-simple, we have $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{k}, U) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{k}, U)$.

Thus we only need to show that $A_0 = \mathbb{k}$ has a finite projective A -resolution.

A quantum symmetric algebra is **Koszul** as it has a PBW basis $[P]$ by definition. The generalised Koszul complex for $A_0 = \mathbb{k}$ is a finite free resolution.

Examples: Let R be the universal R -matrix of a quantum group U . Given a finite dimensional U -module V , define the permutation

$$P : V \otimes V \longrightarrow V \otimes V, v \otimes w \mapsto w \otimes v,$$

and let $\check{R} = PR$. Then $\check{R} \in \text{End}_U(V \otimes V)$.

The \check{R} -matrix has a characteristic polynomial of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k_+} (x - q^{\chi_i^{(+)}}) \prod_{j=1}^{k_-} (x + q^{\chi_j^{(-)}})$$

for some positive integers k_{\pm} , where $\chi_i^{(+)}$ and $\chi_j^{(-)}$ are integers related to eigenvalues of Casimir operators. Let

$$I_- = \prod_{i=1}^{k_+} (\check{R} - q^{\chi_i^{(+)}}) (V \otimes V), \quad (1)$$

which is a U -submodule of $V \otimes V$. We set

$$A = \mathbb{k}\{V, I_-\}.$$

Write $R = \sum_t \alpha_t \otimes \beta_t$.

Lemma

Let A , B and C be locally finite U -module algebras. Then

1. $A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} B$ forms a locally finite U -module algebra with the multiplication defined for all $a \otimes b, a' \otimes b' \in A \otimes B$ by

$$(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = \sum_t a(\beta_t \cdot a') \otimes (\alpha_t \cdot b)b'.$$

2. $(A \otimes B) \otimes C$ and $A \otimes (B \otimes C)$ are canonically isomorphic as U -module algebras.

Thus given a finite dimensional U -module V , we have a U -module algebra

$$\mathbb{k}\{V, I_{-}\}^{\otimes m} \quad \text{for each positive integer } m.$$

Theorem

Let V be the natural $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module for $\mathfrak{g} = sl_n, so_n$ or sp_{2n} . Then $S_q(V^m) := \mathbb{k}\{V, I_-\}^{\otimes m}$ is a quantum symmetric algebra for all m . Furthermore, $S_q(V^m)$ is Noetherian.

The case $\mathfrak{g} = sl_n$ is familiar. The corresponding $S_q(V^m)$ is generated by x_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$) subject to the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}x_{ij}x_{ik} &= q^{-1}x_{ik}x_{ij}, & j < k, \\x_{ij}x_{kj} &= q^{-1}x_{kj}x_{ij}, & i < k, \\x_{ij}x_{kl} &= x_{kl}x_{ij}, & i < k, j > l, \\x_{ij}x_{kl} &= x_{kl}x_{ij} - (q - q^{-1})x_{il}x_{kj}, & i < k, j < l.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

This is the coordinate algebra of a quantum $m \times n$ matrix.

For all the $S_q(V^m)$ described in the [Theorem](#),

$$K_i^{\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})}(S_q(V^m)) \cong K_i(\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}), \quad \text{for all } i.$$

In particular, $K_0(\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod})$ is the Grothendick group of $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$.

The usual algebraic K-groups of $S_q(V^m)$ are given by

$$K_i(S_q(V^m)) = K_i(\mathbb{k}), \quad \text{for all } i.$$

Quantum homogenous spaces

Present the quantum group U over $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}(q)$ in terms of the usual generators $\{e_i, f_i, k_i^{\pm 1} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and standard relations.

Matrix elements of the U -representations associated with objects of $U\text{-mod}$ span a Hopf subalgebra A_g of the finite dual of U .

There exist two actions R and L of U on A_g defined by

$$R_x f = \sum_{(f)} f_{(1)} \langle f_{(2)}, x \rangle, \quad L_x f = \sum_{(f)} \langle f_{(1)}, S(x) \rangle f_{(2)}$$

for all $x \in U$ and $f \in A_g$. The actions commute.

A_g forms a U -module algebra under either R or L .

Let $\Theta \subset \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Denote by $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ the Hopf subalgebra of U generated by the elements of $\{k_i^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{e_j, f_j \mid j \in \Theta\}$.

Define

$$A = \{f \in A_g \mid L_x(f) = \epsilon(x)f, \forall x \in U_q(\mathfrak{l})\}. \quad (3)$$

Lemma

The subspace A forms a U -module algebra under the action R . Furthermore, A is (both left and right) Noetherian.

The algebra A is the quantum analogue of the algebra of functions on G/K for a compact connected Lie group G and a closed subgroup K with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}ie(G)$ and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}ie(K)$.

Call the noncommutative space determined by the algebra a quantum homogeneous space.

Theorem

There is an abelian group isomorphism

$$K_i^U(A) \cong K_i(U_q(\mathfrak{l})\text{-mod}) \quad \text{for each } i \geq 0,$$

where $U_q(\mathfrak{l})\text{-mod}$ is the category of finite dimensional semi-simple left $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ -modules.

Proof of the Theorem

For any object Ξ in $U_q(\mathfrak{l})\text{-mod}$, define

$$\mathcal{S}(\Xi) = \left\{ \zeta \in \Xi \otimes A_{\mathfrak{g}} \left| \sum_{(x)} (x_{(1)} \otimes L_{x_{(2)}}) \zeta = \epsilon(x) \zeta, \forall x \in U_q(\mathfrak{l}) \right. \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Then $\mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$ with A - and U -actions defined for any $b \in A$, $x \in U$ and $\zeta = \sum v_i \otimes a_i \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} b\zeta &= \sum v_i \otimes ba_i, \\ x\zeta &= (\text{id}_{\Xi} \otimes R_x) \zeta = \sum v_i \otimes R_x(a_i). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

1. Let V be the restriction of a finite dimensional U -module to a $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ -module. Then $\mathcal{S}(V) \cong V \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} A$ in $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$.
2. For any object Ξ in $U_q(\mathfrak{l})\text{-mod}$, $\mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}(A, U)$.

Extend (4) to a covariant functor

$$\mathcal{S} : U_q(\mathfrak{l})\text{-}\mathbf{mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(A, U), \quad (5)$$

which applies to objects of $U_q(\mathfrak{l})\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$ according to (4) and sends a morphism f to $f \otimes \text{id}_{A_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Let $I = \{f \in A \mid f(1) = 0\}$, which is an ideal of A and forms a $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ -module algebra under the restriction of the action R . Thus for any U -equivariant A -module M , IM is a $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ -equivariant A -submodule of M .

For M in $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$, let $M_0 = M/IM$. We now have a covariant functor

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M}(A, U) \longrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{l})\text{-}\mathbf{mod},$$

which sends an object M in $\mathcal{M}(A, U)$ to M_0 , and is defined for morphisms in the obvious way.

We restrict the functor to the full subcategory $\mathcal{P}(A, U)$ to obtain a covariant functor











$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}(A, U) \longrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{l})\text{-mod}. \quad (6)$$

Theorem

There are natural isomorphisms $\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}} \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}(A, U)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}} \circ \mathcal{S} \cong \text{id}_{U_q(\mathfrak{l})\text{-mod}}$, thus the categories $U_q(\mathfrak{l})\text{-mod}$ and $\mathcal{P}(A, U)$ are equivalent.

The theorem on the equivariant K-groups of the quantum homogeneous spaces immediately follows from this result.

References

-  [BH] Bass, H.; Haboush, W. Some equivariant K -theory of affine algebraic group actions. *Comm. Algebra* **15** (1987), no. 1-2, 181–217.
-  [BK] K. A. Brown and K. R. Goodearl, *Lectures on Algebraic Quantum Groups*, Birkhauser, 2002.
-  [GZ] Gover, A. R.; Zhang, R. B. Geometry of quantum homogeneous vector bundles and representation theory of quantum groups. I. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **11** (1999), no. 5, 533–552.
-  [LZ] G. I. Lehrer, R. B. Zhang, Quantum group actions on rings and equivariant algebraic K -theory. *Contemporary Mathematics* (2012).
-  [LZZ] G. I. Lehrer, H. Zhang, R. B. Zhang, Quantum group actions on noncommutative algebras and invariant theory. *Communications in Math Physics* (2011).
-  [M] Montgomery, S. Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, *Regional Conference Series in Math.* No. **82**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1993).
-  [P] S. B. Priddy, Koszul resolutions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **152** (1970) 39–60.
-  [Q] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory I*. In *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories* (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), pp. 851–47. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 341, Springer, 1973.
-  [Z] R.B. Zhang, Quantum superalgebra representations on cohomology groups of non-commutative bundles. *J Pure Applied Algebra* **191** (2004), 285–314.
-  [ZZ] G. Zhang and R.B. Zhang, Equivariant vector bundles on quantum homogeneous spaces. *Math Research Lett*, **15** (2008) 297 – 307.